Multi-Agent Architecture Decision Framework

Pattern Selection Guide

Use this framework to determine which multi-agent architecture fits your business scenario.

Start Here: Core Questions

- 1. Do you need centralized control over the workflow?
 - YES → Consider Hierarchical (Manager-Worker)
 - NO → Go to Question 2
- 2. Do agents need to work together on shared goals with access to common data?
 - YES → Consider Peer-to-Peer (Collaborative)
 - NO → Go to Ouestion 3
- 3. Do agents need to react independently to system events without tight coupling?
 - YES → Consider Event-Driven (Pub-Sub)

Objectives

| Factor | Hierarchical | Peer-to-Peer | Event-Driven |
|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Control | Centralized manager | Distributed across agents | Event-based triggers |
| Coupling | Tight (manager knows workers) | Medium (shared dataverse) | Loose (event bus only) |
| Coordination | Manager orchestrates | Collaborative consensus | React independently |
| Scalability | Limited by manager capacity | Scales with shared state | Highly scalable |
| Complexity | Simple chain of command | Moderate (state management) | Complex (async handling) |

When to Choose Each Pattern

Hierarchical (Manager-Worker)

Choose when you need:

- Clear approval workflows
- Predictable task sequences
- Single point of decision-making
- Result aggregation from multiple sources

Peer-to-Peer (Collaborative)

Choose when you need:

- Agents to share context and make joint decisions
- Common data access across autonomous agents
- Flexible collaboration without rigid hierarchy
- Agents with equal authority

Real examples:

- Document approval processes
- Multi-step customer onboarding
- Report generation from multiple data sources

Real examples:

- Team collaboration tools
- Shared project management
- Multi-department request handling

Event-Driven (Pub-Sub)

Choose when you need:

- High volume, asynchronous processing
- Independent agent reactions to system changes
- Minimal dependencies between agents
- Easy addition/removal of agents

Real examples:

- · Real-time notification systems
- Inventory updates across systems
- Automated workflow triggers
- IoT sensor processing

Decision Matrix

| Your Requirement | Recommended Pattern |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Need strict workflow order | Hierarchical |
| One agent must oversee others | Hierarchical |
| Agents need shared memory | Peer-to-Peer |
| Multiple agents, equal authority | Peer-to-Peer |
| High transaction volume | Event-Driven |
| Agents work independently | Event-Driven |
| Need to scale quickly | Event-Driven |
| Async processing critical | Event-Driven |

Red Flags by Pattern

Don't choose Hierarchical if:

- You need high scalability (manager becomes bottleneck)
- Agents should operate independently
- Workflow steps change frequently

Don't choose Peer-to-Peer if:

- You need strict process control
- Shared state becomes too complex
- Clear authority hierarchy is required

Don't choose Event-Driven if:

- You need synchronous responses
- Strong consistency is critical
- Debugging complex flows is difficult for your team